Check for updates

applied optics

Grating-assisted-cylindrical-resonant-cavities interlayer coupler

CONGSHAN WAN,* D THOMAS K. GAYLORD, AND MUHANNAD S. BAKIR

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA *Corresponding author: cwan3@gatech.edu

Received 9 March 2018; revised 22 May 2018; accepted 22 May 2018; posted 23 May 2018 (Doc. ID 325562); published 14 June 2018

A grating-assisted-cylindrical-resonant-cavities (GARC) interlayer coupler made of Si/SiO₂ is designed and simulated to achieve efficient and broadband interlayer coupling. This coupler consists of three cylindrical resonant cavities: two waveguide cavities in the horizontal direction and one cylindrical via cavity in the vertical direction. The resonant strengths of the two cylindrical waveguide cavities are enhanced by circular Bessel-function-defined gratings and distributed Bragg reflectors. The interlayer coupling efficiency of this Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler is simulated as $\eta_c = 68\%(-1.7 \text{ dB})$ for transverse electric polarization at 1.55 µm wavelength, which is generally higher than those of conventional rectangular silicon-on-insulator gratings with additional features such as reflectors, overlayers, chirped periods, dual gratings, etc. The GARC couplers are predicted to have favorable attributes compared to previous couplers, including wider operational bandwidth ($\delta_{\lambda,1 dB} = 270$ nm), larger tolerance to inplane misalignment (± 2 µm for 1 dB extra loss), easier grating patterning (wider grating ridges), smaller footprint (20 µm in diameter), and more flexible choices of interlayer distances (2–5 µm). A sensitivity analysis is also provided as a guide in fabrication. In general, it is found that the vertical dimensions of the GARC couplers need to be carefully controlled while the horizontal dimensions are less critical. © 2018 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: (050.2770) Gratings; (060.1810) Buffers, couplers, routers, switches, and multiplexers; (130.0130) Integrated optics; (130.2790) Guided waves; (250.5300) Photonic integrated circuits; (260.5740) Resonance.

https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.57.005079

1. INTRODUCTION

2.5D and 3D integrated circuit (IC) technologies [1,2] have become increasingly important in the fields of high-performance computing [3], parallel image processing [4], 5G wireless communication [5], etc. The use of optical links together with electrical links provides promising solutions to increase signal bandwidth and reduce power consumption. The emergence of 3D integrated photonics [6] offers opportunities for denser and more complex network designs without the problems of waveguide crossings and crosstalk. The successful demonstration of 3D photonics relies on the performance of interlayer optical couplers, and thus designing high-efficiency interlayer couplers becomes an unavoidable task. Conventionally, the interlayer optical connectivity is achieved by using 45° mirrors, evanescent couplers, or diffraction gratings. 1D or 2D rectangular waveguide gratings have been extensively studied to date because they can achieve relatively large interlayer distances, and their planar geometries make them compatible with IC wafer-scale fabrication and testing. Increasing the diffraction efficiencies of rectangular gratings is essential to reduce the coupling loss, and the most effective approaches have been choosing materials with

1559-128X/18/185079-11 Journal © 2018 Optical Society of America

large refractive index contrast, e.g., silicon-on-insulator (SOI), and engineering the grating period, etch depth, and fill factor [7], fundamentally changing the diffraction behavior. However, further efficiency improvement into the desired diffraction direction continues to be a challenge due to the presence of substrate leakage loss, backreflection, and forward transmission (Fig. 1). These problems have been mitigated by applying poly-Si overlayers (to enhance directionality) [8-10], asymmetric profiles (to enhance directionality) [11], distributed Bragg reflectors (DBR) or metal reflectors (to reduce substrate leakage) [12–16], apodized or chirped gratings (to reduce backreflection) [17], inplane grating reflectors (to reduce forward transmission) [18,19], a dual-grating layer [20,21], and novel subwavelength structures [22-28]. Some reported rectangular SOI gratings are summarized in Table 1. It is observed that narrow grating ridges (~100 nm) are required to achieve relatively high efficiencies (>70%), and such gratings need to be patterned using high-resolution fabrication techniques, e.g., e-beam lithography. The methods used to increase the directionality, e.g., depositing overlayers or applying reflectors, also add complexity to the fabrication process. Apart from the fabrication difficulties, grating assembly poses additional challenges to photonics integration



Fig. 1. Rectangular gratings used for interlayer coupling and possible solutions to reduce losses in binary SOI grating outcouplers: (a) and (b) increasing directionality to cover, (c) reducing back-reflection, and (d) reducing forward transmission.

and packaging processes because rectangular grating couplers are very sensitive to misalignments [29]. All these issues regarding resolution, directionality, and misalignment originate from the Floquet and Bragg conditions, which rectangular gratings must inherently follow.

In the present work, we are proposing a fundamentally different approach, the Si/SiO₂ grating-assisted-cylindricalresonant-cavities (GARC) interlayer coupler, to achieve efficient and broadband interlayer coupling. The previous $Si_3N_4/$ SiO₂ coupler reported in [30] consists of a pair of circular gratings together with a high-index via. In this work, we further develop this concept and provide a new Si/SiO₂-based design. The high-index via plays a significant role as a cylindrical resonant cavity in the vertical direction, and the GARC coupler thus benefits from the large increase of the resonant optical field introduced by the cavity. In addition, the outer circular gratings serve as DBRs, forming another set of resonant cavities in the horizontal direction and enhancing the fields that are modulated by the inner circular gratings. Field transport between waveguides and the via is achieved based on evanescent coupling. Since the circular gratings are defined by the inplane interference instead of the Floquet condition of rectangular gratings, relatively wide grating ridges can be used. Furthermore, it is not necessary to incorporate adjacent-layer reflectors because there are no propagating fields in the substrate. At 1.55 µm wavelength, the simulated interlayer coupling efficiency η_c for an optimized Si/SiO_2 GARC coupler is 68% by using a range of resolutions (see Appendix A). For a general perspective on the coupling performance of existing SOI rectangular grating couplers, Table 1 is presented. These results are typically obtained from 2D simulations. Figure 2 shows values of a number of key parameters as they have developed over time. It is observed that the GARC coupler has a relatively high efficiency, a very wide bandwidth, and a large minimum feature size. The most significant benefit of the GARC coupler is perhaps the wide spectral bandwidth ($\delta_{\lambda,1 dB} = 270$ nm), which cannot be achieved by conventional rectangular gratings. The GARC couplers also demonstrate many advantages such as CMOS compatible fabrication, flexible choices of interlayer separation, and high misalignment tolerances. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first treatment of combining circular resonators in both horizontal and vertical directions to achieve interlayer coupling. Here we discuss material selection, design optimization, and sensitivity analysis.

2. OPTIMIZED Si/SiO₂ GARC COUPLER

Figure 3 shows the GARC coupler in which relatively highindex waveguides and a via are configured to couple optical signals between layers otherwise separated by an air gap. This structure can be implemented between two separate overlaid chips or embedded within an interconnected stack of chips. In the second case, the air gap can be filled with SiO_2 , which will cause only small changes to the overall design. Transverse electric (TE) polarization $(H_z, H_r, H_\theta, E_r, E_\theta)$ is considered for demonstration. GARC couplers for the transverse magnetic (TM) polarization $(E_z, E_r, E_\theta, H_r, H_\theta)$ follow the same design process except that the TM guided-mode propagation constant β is used, and relative permittivity is introduced to determine the grating ridges; e.g., for a 0.22 µm thick air/Si/SiO2 waveguide, $\beta = 11.371 \ \mu m^{-1}$ for the TE polarization whereas $\beta =$ 7.5996 μ m⁻¹ for the TM polarization. For the TE polarization, the E_{θ} field in the circular slab waveguide is of primary importance because it is tangent to the cylindrical wavefronts whose interference will determine the grating ridges. In the limiting case where the radius of the circular slab is infinite, the cylindrical wavefront becomes planar and the E_{θ} field can be treated as the E_{ν} field of a typical rectangular slab waveguide that supports TE modes $(E_{y}, H_{x}, \text{ and } H_{z})$. The necessity of the via, which is used for evanescent coupling, and the definition of the circular grating, which is based on the interference conditions, are explained in our previous work [30].

Figure 4 shows the cross-sectional views of the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler. The parameters indicated in Fig. 3 for the optimized GARC are summarized in Table 2. Full-wave simulations were performed using MEEP 3D finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) [37]. A TE-polarized Gaussian pulse with free-space wavelength 1.55 µm and temporal width 33 fs is launched into the fundamental mode from the top slab waveguide, and 68% of the input power is coupled into the bottom slab waveguide ($\eta_c = 68\%$, which is calculated as the ratio of the output power from the GARC coupled into the bottom waveguide to the corresponding output power from a uniform waveguide without the GARC). The single-layer efficiency of the GARC is approximated as $\eta_s = \sqrt{\eta_c} = 82\%$. The via and waveguides are made of Si. The grating is etched into the Si circular waveguide and filled with SiO₂. The low-index layer, made of SiO₂, is sandwiched between

Table 1. Some Reported SOI Gratings for TE Polarization^a

SOI Structure				Measurement			Simulation					
t _{Si} (nm)	Л (nm)	<i>t</i> g (nm)	F	δ (nm)	<i>L</i> (μm)	η _s (%/dB)	λ (nm)	1 dB/3 dB (nm)	η _s (%/dB)	λ (nm)	1 dB/3 dB (nm)	Reference and Comments
340	СР	200	AP	43	15	75.8/-1.2	1533	-/45	79/-1.0	1550	-/48	[17]
340	630	340	0.85	95	10	44/-3.6	1560	-/50	_	-	_	[31]
220	701	220	0.5	350	14	_	_	_	49/-3.1	1550	20/35	[32]
220	632	70	-	_	-	25/-6	1560	30/-	-	1550	30/60	[33]
380	430	70	0.5	215	14	43/-3.7	1310	_	_	-	_	[34]
220	CP	220	AP	120	11	35/-4.6	1536	47/-	72/-1.4	1550	38/-	[35] ^b
250	CP	-	AP	60	14	64/-1.9	1524	42/70	74/-1.3	1520	50/80	[36] ^b
220	640	70	0.5	320	12.8	91/-0.41	1590	_	$94/-0.28^{b}$	1550	_	$[16]^{c},^{d}$
220	630	70	0.5	315	15.75	69.5/-1.6	1520	36/63	76/-1.2	1550	40/60	[13] ^e
220	CP	100	AP	30	13	-	-	-	88/-0.6	1550	-/40	$[14]^{e}$
220	630	70	0.5	315	-	-	-	-	79/-1.0	1550	-	[15] ^e
220	610	70	0.5	305	12.2	55/-2.6	1530	50/-	66/-1.8	1550	55/-	[8] ^f
220	690	70	0.5	345	_	69/-1.6	1530	44/80	_	_	-	[10] ^f
220	568	DE	AP	100	-	65/-1.9	1310	23/-	85/-0.7	1310	25/-	[22] ^g
220	780	DE	DE	100	30	74/-1.3	1550	-/52	78/-1.1	1550	35/50	[23] ^g
220	663	DE	AP	87	15	71/-1.5	1533	-/49	87/-0.6	1550	38/50	[24] ^g
220	-	220	-	39	40	23/-6.4	1550	100/-	34/-4.7	1550	100/-	[26] ^g
200	-	DE	0.5	_	15	_	_	_	77/-1.1	1550	24/-	[28] ^g
300	565	150	0.77	130	12.4	_	_	_	78/-1.1	1550	-/65	$[20]^{h}$
120	_	-	_	_	_	92/-0.36	1197	_	95/-0.2	1200	-/100	$[21]^{b}$
250	420	250	0.54	227	10	-	_	_	85.3/0.69	1310	-/20	$[19]^{i}$

"All references except [16] describe fiber-to-grating couplers. The column labels represent Si waveguide thickness (t_{Si}), grating period (Λ), grating etch depth (t_g), grating fill factor (F), grating line resolution (δ), grating length or dimension (L), single-grating efficiency (η_i), working wavelength (λ), and 1 dB or 3 dB bandwidth (1 dB/3 dB). CP, AP, and DE represent chirped grating period, apodized fill factor, and double etch depths/widths, respectively.

^bOptimized BOX layer thickness.

Interlayer grating coupler.

^dGold reflector.

'Bragg reflector.

^fPoly-Si overlay.

^gSubwavelength structure.

^hDual-grating layer.

ⁱInplane reflector.

the grating and the via. Note that the stacking sequence is different from that of the Si_3N_4/SiO_2 GARC coupler [30], in which the grating is etched into the via, and the low-index layer is located between the waveguide and the grating. The stacking sequence depends on the longitudinal field distribution in the waveguide. In the Si/SiO₂ waveguide, the refractive index contrast is larger, and the longitudinal field is more confined in the waveguide core, leaving a short evanescent tail in the cladding or the low-index layer. To perturb the field in the circular waveguide, the grating needs to be etched into the waveguide. The etch depth should be shallow to reduce backreflection into the input taper. By contrast, the index difference is small in a Si_3N_4/SiO_2 waveguide, and, thus, the longitudinal field extends further out of the waveguide core. A grating layer adjacent to the waveguide is sufficient to perturb the field, while an etched grating may induce too much backreflection. This difference is illustrated in Fig. 5. The efficiency has increased from 41% for the Si_3N_4/SiO_2 coupler to 68% for the Si/SiO₂ coupler due to a stronger field confinement in the Si via.

As shown in Fig. 6, the circular grating ridges are defined by using p = 2, s = 3, and t = 2 according to [30]. The minimum grating ridge widths of the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler is 553 nm ($\Lambda_{inner} = 1.106 \mu$ m) for the inner grating and 415 nm ($\Lambda_{outer} = 830$ nm) for the outer grating. If the parameters p = s = t = 1 are used, the inner and outer grating ridge widths will be 276 nm and 138 nm, respectively, which would be more difficult to photo-define. The grating ridge widths can be further increased by using larger integer values of p, s, and t if the coupler footprint permits. The flexibility to define circular gratings based on the interference conditions circumvents the difficulty of writing narrow grating ridges, which are inevitable in traditional rectangular gratings. The grating etch depth is 40 nm, which has been optimized using parameter sweeps.

As discussed in [30], the circular grating is defined according to the Bessel function $J_1(\beta r)$ [38,39], whose oscillation period is larger near the origin and becomes smaller at larger radial distances r. However, at large argument r, $J_1(\beta r)$ can be approximated as $\cos(\beta r)$, which is periodic. The inner circular grating (smaller r) should strictly follow the Bessel function $J_1(\beta r)$, but the outer circular grating (larger r) can be treated as periodic and defined according to $\cos(\beta r)$. This can be observed from Table 2 where the period Λ is more uniform for the outer circular grating. This phenomenon will become more obvious when the circular grating is larger, e.g., the Si₃N₄/SiO₂ coupler in [30]. The method to define the circular grating based



Fig. 2. Summary of single-grating efficiency η_s , 1 dB bandwidth $\delta_{\lambda,1 \text{ dB}}$, grating footprint (*L*), and minimum feature size (δ) of SOI rectangular gratings reported in [8–10,12–28,31–34] as well as those for the Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler.



Fig. 3. Schematic representation of the GARC coupling configuration. The GARC structure functions to interconnect two layers otherwise separated by an air gap.

on Bessel functions does not contradict common circular grating designs used as laser cavities, e.g., [40–42], etc., in which strictly periodic circular gratings are used. For example, in [40], the laser cavity consists of a uniform disk in the center



Fig. 4. Cross-sectional views of the Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler.

(radius 5 μ m) surrounded by circular Bragg reflector (from r = 5 μ m to 60 μ m). The central disk, where the pump medium is located, circumvents the necessity of the grating definition, while the radial locations for the circular Bragg gratings are large enough such that the gratings can be treated as periodic.

The diameter of the inner circular grating D_{inner} , the width of the rectangular slab waveguide W, and the taper half-angle α affect the determination of the via height d or, equivalently, the interlayer separation. The via diameter is the same as D_{inner} . Figure 7 shows the H_z field of the GARC coupler with five different taper half-angles. The angle 0.5 rad is the limiting case in which the taper side length equals $R_{\text{outer}} = D_{\text{outer}}/2$. The parameters $d = 2 \ \mu m$, $D_{inner} = 14.08 \ \mu m$, and $W = 10 \ \mu m$ are the same for all cases. The smaller the taper halfangle, the longer the input taper (the entire taper is not shown for $\alpha = 0.1$ rad to 0.3 rad). It is observed that the "destructive interference region (DIR)" (indicated by the dashed arrow), the null field in the input taper due to destructive interference of input field and backreflected field, moves inward from the outskirts of the circular grating as taper half-angle increases. Once the input field reaches the inner grating, the field will be evanescently coupled to the high-index via and propagate at the angle $\phi = \tan^{-1}(\gamma_{\rm Si}/\beta) = 35.5^{\circ}$. Note that not all of the field is coupled into the via; instead, a portion of the input field still propagates in the slab waveguide with an approximately exponential-decaying intensity due to the presence of the low-index layer. The obliquely propagating field in the via will be reflected from the bottom circular grating and then obliquely propagate upward with the angle $\pi - \phi$. Eventually, most of the reflected field will constructively interfere at the top grating, forming a "constructive interference region (CIR)" indicated by the solid arrow. It is desired that the CIR and DIR be located symmetrically about the grating center and both regions be located within the inner grating, e.g., Fig. 7 (e.1). This symmetry ensures that another set of CIR and DIR, whose locations are interchanged, is formed at the bottom grating, e.g., Fig. 7 (e.3). In other words, inversion symmetry about the center of the via has been achieved, and the structure exhibits reciprocal behavior. Thus, α and W determine the location of the DIR; D_{inner} restricts the location of the CIR and DIR; and d controls the location of the CIR and the effectiveness of the vertical resonator.

Table 2. Parameters for the Optimized Si/SiO_2 GARC Coupler

	Inner and Outer Circular Grating Ridge Edges							
l	ω_l^{a}	r^{b} (µm)	$w_r^{\ c}$ (µm)	$w_v^{\ d}$ (µm)	Λ^{e} (µm)			
1	1.8412	0.3238	0.3238	_	_			
2	5.3314	0.9377	_	0.6139	_			
3	8.5363	1.5014	0.5637	_	1.1776			
4	11.7060	2.0589	_	0.5575	_			
5	14.8636	2.6143	0.5554	_	1.1129			
6	18.0155	3.1687	—	0.5544	_			
7	21.1644	3.7225	0.5538	_	1.1082			
8	24.3113	4.2760	—	0.5535	_			
9	27.4571	4.8293	0.5533	_	1.1068			
10	30.6019	5.3824	—	0.5531	_			
11	33.7462	5.9355	0.5530	-	1.1061			
12	36.8900	6.4884	—	0.5530	-			
13	40.0334	7.0413	0.5529	_	1.1059			
14	43.1766	7.4559	—	0.4146	-			
15	46.3196	7.8706	0.4146	-	0.8292			
16	49.4624	8.2851	—	0.4146	-			
17	52.6050	8.6997	0.4146	-	0.8292			
18	55.7476	9.1142	-	0.4145	-			
19	58.8900	9.5288	0.4145	-	0.8290			
20	62.0323	9.9433	_	0.4145	-			
21	65.1746	10.3578	0.4145	-	0.8290			
		Oth	er Parameters					
β^{f} (µm ⁻¹)		11.37	λ^{g} (μm)	1.55			
k_0 (μm^{-1})	4.05	$n_{\rm Si}$	1.45				
n _{Si} ^b		3.45	$\gamma_{\rm Si}^{i}$ (γ_{Si}^{i} (μm^{-1})				
t_w (µm)	0.22	t_{σ} (t_{σ} (µm)				
$t_{\rm thin}$	(µm)	0.1	Ŵ	(μm)	10			
ϕ (°))	35.5	α	(°)	28.65			
$D_{\rm inn}$	_{er} ^j (μm)	14.08	D_{outer}	$D_{\text{outer}}^{j}(\mu m)$				
p, s,	t^k	2, 3, 2	d (μm)	2			

"th zero of $J'_{1}(x) = d[J_{1}(x)]/dx$.

^bLocation of grating ridge edges as shown in Fig. 6.

'Grating ridge width.

^dGrating groove width.

'Local grating period.

^fRadial (r) propagation constant.

Free-space wavelength.

^hn represents refractive index.

ⁱLongitudinal (z) propagation constant.

^jInner and outer grating diameters.

^kParameters used to define circular grating ridges [30].



Fig. 5. Layer stacking sequence and longitudinal field distribution in two types of GARC couplers: (a) Si/SiO_2 and (b) Si_3N_4/SiO_2 . Darker color indicates higher index.

The spectral response of the optimized Si/SiO_2 GARC coupler specified in Table 2 is shown in Fig. 8. Higher-order modes in the transverse direction (*xy* plane) will typically be excited in the slab waveguide (also true for the slab waveguides connected



Fig. 6. Circular grating ridge definition. Corresponding parameters are shown in Table 2.

to rectangular gratings). To suppress the higher-order modes in the transverse direction, waveguide tapers can be added to gradually narrow the width (W) of the slab waveguide down to that of the ridge waveguides (W_r) . This is particularly important in photonic circuits that use ridge waveguides, which are evanescently coupled to ring resonators or to interferometers, etc. To suppress the higher-order modes in the output slab waveguide of the GARC, a waveguide taper with taper halfangle 0.1 rad can be used to couple the slab modes into a ridge waveguide with width 0.5 μ m (Fig. 19 in Appendix B), and the resulting spectral response curve has correspondingly fewer oscillations and reduced efficiency due to the removal of higher-order modes. The coupling efficiency could be further improved by optimizing the taper angle and length. This has not been done in the present work. Since rectangular gratings are typically analyzed using 2D simulation (infinite in the y direction), those efficiency calculations do not include this tapering effect. Nevertheless, the efficiency of the GARC remains relatively high even if a taper is included ($\eta_c = 55\%$, $\eta_s = 74\%$ at 1.55 μ m). Compared to traditional rectangular grating couplers [43], the spectral bandwidth δ_{λ} of the GARC coupler is much wider. The 1 dB bandwidths for η_s and η_c of the GARC coupler are about 270 nm (for $\eta_s > 0.67$) and 170 nm (for $\eta_c > 0.57$), respectively. The quality factor can also be approximated from the spectral response as $Q = \lambda_c / \delta_{\lambda,3 \, dB} = 1.55 / 0.3 = 5.2$, where $\delta_{\lambda,3 \, dB} = 300 \text{ nm}$ indicates the 3 dB bandwidth or FWHM. Owing to the field leakage into the waveguides, the via cavity is not a strong resonator, but it still provides field enhancement.

3. SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

The optimized couplers may not be fabricated and assembled precisely as designed. Using 3D FDTD, we have analyzed the effects of translational misalignments, layer thickness changes (e.g., grating etch depth, via height, etc.), grating ridge variations, and via taperings.

Figure 9(a) shows the effect of translational shift of the bottom waveguide with respect to the via in the y direction (the axes are shown in Fig. 3), assuming the circular gratings are exactly defined in the circular waveguides on both layers and the top circular waveguide is exactly aligned to the via.



Fig. 7. H_z field distribution in the top grating and in the vertical cross-section of the GARC coupler with five different taper half-angles: (a) 0.1 rad = 5.73°, (b) 0.2 rad = 11.46°, (c) 0.3 rad = 17.19°, (d) 0.4 rad = 22.92°, and (e) 0.5 rad = 28.65°. For case (e), the H_z field distribution in the bottom grating is shown in (e.3), and the ray representation of the vertical resonator in the via is shown in (e.4).

Since the GARC coupler is symmetric about the xz plane, the efficiency changes for the positive and negative shifts are identical. A misalignment tolerance of $\pm 2 \mu m$ causes about 1 dB excess loss for the GARC interlayer coupling efficiency, which is about the same amount of tolerance as for an SOI apodized grating coupler reported in [44]. The effect of the shift in the *x* direction is shown in Fig. 9(b). Shifting in the positive *x* direction has a larger effect on the efficiency than shifting in the negative *x* direction, something that can be explained with the illustration in Fig. 10. The shaded yellow region indicates a relatively high-power region in the via. When the bottom



Fig. 8. Spectral response for the optimized Si/SiO_2 GARC coupler and the rectangular grating reported in [43].



Fig. 9. Effect of translational shift in the (a) y direction and the (b) x direction for the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler specified in Table 2 and that of an apodized SOI grating coupler reported in [44].

waveguide is shifted in the -x direction, the high-power region and the low-power region on the bottom waveguide are approximately located at the predesigned CIR and DIR, respectively. By contrast, the high-power region and low-power region interchange their locations when the bottom waveguide is shifted in the +x direction, violating the light reciprocity and thus inducing a relatively larger power loss. Rotational misalignment, which is particularly detrimental to the rectangular gratings, is not likely to occur in the GARC structure due to the presence of the via, which offers a mechanical support between layers.

The effect of varying via height d on the GARC coupler efficiency is shown in Fig. 11. It is observed that the efficiency is sensitive to via height changes. Nevertheless, the layer thickness could be closely controlled during the deposition process, and the optimal via height could be achieved without significant difficulty. Since the via functions as a cylindrical resonant cavity, the resonant wavelengths in the via are [45]

$$\lambda_{lm} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\omega_l}{R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{m\pi}{d}\right)^2}},$$
(1)

where *l* and *m* indicate the resonant mode order in the radial (*r*) and longitudinal (*z*) directions, respectively, ω_l is the *l*th zero of the function $J'_1(x)$, R = 7.0413 µm is the radius of the via, and





Fig. 10. Effect of translational shift in the *x* direction on the coupler performance.



Fig. 11. Plot of efficiency as a function of via height.

d is the via height. Since the GARC coupler is designed for the free-space wavelength $\lambda = 1.55 \,\mu\text{m}$, the resonance wavelength in the Si via is $\lambda_{lm} = \lambda/n_{\text{Si}}$, which can be achieved using various sets of *l*, *m*, and *d*. The via height *d* at the (*l*,*m*)th resonance can be found from Eq. (1), and some results are shown in Table 3. Since the radius of the cylindrical cavity is fixed at $R = 7.0413 \,\mu\text{m}$, the resonance mode order *l* in the radial direction can be approximated as

$$R = \frac{l}{2}\lambda_r,$$
 (2)

where λ_r is the radial component of λ_{lm} ($\lambda_r = 2\pi/\beta = 0.5526 \ \mu\text{m}$). Thus *l* is approximated as 26, resulting in

Table 3. Resulting Via Height *d* in μ m for the (*l*,*m*)th Resonance at 1.55 μ m Free-Space Wavelength (*R* = 7.0413 μ m)

l						
m	24	25	26	27	28	
4	1.3801	1.4682	1.5807	1.7302	1.9413	
5	1.7251	1.8353	1.9758	2.1627	2.4266	
6	2.0701	2.2023	2.3710	2.5952	2.9119	
7	2.4151	2.5694	2.7662	3.0278	3.3972	
8	2.7602	2.9364	3.1613	3.4603	3.8825	
9	3.1052	3.3035	3.5565	3.8929	4.3678	
10	3.4502	3.6705	3.9516	4.3254	4.8531	

the most prominent resonance in the cavity. The highlighted d values in Table 3, calculated using l = 26, correspond to the peaks in Fig. 11. Even though the via heights 1.6 µm and 3.1 µm lead to higher coupling efficiency (80% and 75%, respectively), the via height 2 μ m is chosen in consideration of fabrication feasibility and device performance. On one hand, it may be challenging to deposit more than a 2 µm-thick Si via using conventional low-pressure chemical vapor deposition without sacrificing deposition speed and layer uniformity. On the other hand, interlayer separations less than 2 μ m may induce optical crosstalk between two layers, thus degrading the overall 3D interconnection. The case $d = 2 \ \mu m$ has a longer coupling time (1.32 ps, temporal bandwidth $\delta_t = 0.76$ THz) than $d = 3.1 \ \mu m$ (0.83 ps, $\delta_t = 1.21 \ \text{THz}$) due to a stronger resonance. Nevertheless, the GARC couplers would be fast enough to satisfy terahertz communication requirements.

In the case of coupling between layers separated by an air gap, the GARC coupler could be divided into two parts for easier fabrication, one being the top waveguide and the via, the other being the bottom waveguide. Here we analyze the effect of vertical gaps between the two parts, assuming the via height is exactly 2 µm as designed. As shown in Fig. 12, the efficiency drops in an oscillating and exponentially decaying fashion. Since the power in the via is evanescently coupled to the bottom waveguide, it is expected that a large gap, e.g., $\delta_d > 200$ nm, will prevent the coupling. For $\delta_d < 200 \,$ nm, power can be coupled to the bottom waveguide, but it is modulated by interference, just as in the cases of changing via height. From this analysis, we can see that the gap between layers of the GARC coupler is detrimental to the coupling, and it should be avoided. Therefore, ideally, the GARC structure should be grown layer by layer in a bottom-up approach.

Keeping the interlayer separation d at 2 µm, the effect of changing the thickness of the SiO₂ thin layer, t_{thin} , is shown in Fig. 13. The resonance in the via is weaker for smaller t_{thin} , while evanescent coupling is prohibited for larger t_{thin} , both resulting in lower coupling efficiency.

The effect of changing the grating etch depth t_g and changing the outer grating ridge definition (values of *s* and *t*) are also explored. As shown in Fig. 14, the efficiencies drop rapidly for $t_g > 80$ nm due to significant backreflection from the circular grating into the input waveguide taper. The oscillations in the efficiencies are again due to interference effects (e.g., in the range $t_g \in [10, 80]$ nm). The efficiency plots of



Gap between bottom waveguide and via $\delta_d \ [\mu m]$

Fig. 12. Effect of vertical gap between via and bottom waveguide δ_d for the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler with target interlayer separation $d = 2 \mu m$.



Fig. 13. Effect of SiO₂ thin layer thickness t_{thin} for the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler with $d = 2 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

the GARC coupler with s = 3 and t = 2 coincide with those of the GARC coupler with s = 1 and t = 1, meaning that the two grating reflectors work equivalently. The values s = 3and t = 2 are chosen because they result in wider grating ridges.

To reduce fabrication difficulty, the inner and outer grating etch depths are kept the same; that is, $t_{gi} = t_{go} = t_g$. For analysis, varying the etch depths of the inner and outer grating separately offers a better understanding of the effects of circular gratings and the resonant cavity. Figure 15 shows the efficiency change with respect to the variation of inner grating etch depth $t_{\rm gi}$ for three outer grating etch depths $t_{\rm go} = 40$ nm, 110 nm, and 220 nm. At $t_{gi} = 0$, the inner circular grating is absent, and the interlayer coupling efficiency is 20-30%. The reason is that the field in the inner circular slab waveguide, which is launched from the input taper, is not modulated, and the field pattern is not symmetric about the circular waveguide center. As a result of the asymmetry, the field does not constructively interfere, even though the outer circular grating forms a resonant cavity. As the inner grating depth increases, the index contrast becomes larger and so does the field modulation. The curves for the three outer grating depths have similar





1

0.9

0.8

Fig. 14. Effect of grating etch depth t_g for the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler with $d = 2 \ \mu m$. t_g indicates the etch depth for both the inner and outer circular gratings.



Fig. 15. Effect of varying the inner grating etch depth t_{gi} and the outer grating etch depth t_{go} for the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler with $d = 2 \mu m$.

trends, but coupling losses are higher for larger t_{go} possibly due to stronger backreflection. The highest coupling efficiency for the three cases $t_{go} = 40$ nm, 110 nm, and 220 nm are all obtained at $t_{gi} = 40$ nm. It can be concluded that, first, the shallow etch depth is necessary to achieve high coupling efficiency, and second, there is no benefit in defining different etch depths for the inner and outer circular gratings.

Furthermore, varying the grating ridge is another factor to consider. In the simulation, ridge locations with four-decimalplace accuracy are employed. However, it is not realistic to achieve such degree of accuracy in fabrication. Keeping the other parameters unchanged, we simulate a GARC coupler with a strictly periodic 0.55 μ m inner grating ridge/groove width and 0.41 μ m outer grating ridge/groove width, and the interlayer coupling efficiency is simulated as 65%, which is 4% smaller than the optimized GARC coupler specified in Table 2. The reason is that the uniform inner grating does not follow the radial field distribution described by the first-order Bessel function. Although it is common practice to use a strictly periodic grating, the Bessel-function-defined periods used here are shown to provide better performance.



Fig. 16. Via tapering effect for the optimized Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler with $d = 2 \mu m$.

The tapering effect of the via is also investigated. During the etching process, the side wall of the cylindrical via may not be exactly vertical. The effect of via radius change δ_r is shown in Fig. 16. Two scenarios are investigated: the via may be either tapering up or tapering down depending on the fabrication process. In either case, the narrow end of the via has a change of δ_r (a negative value) compared to the wide end whose radius is set as a fixed value $R = 7.0413 \,\mu\text{m}$. The plots are symmetric about the axis at $\delta_r = 0$, indicating a perfectly vertical side wall. This is reasonable because of the 180° rotational symmetry of the GARC structure and light reciprocity. The efficiencies exhibit moderate increase for $|\delta_r| < 2 \ \mu m$ (28% change relative to R), possibly due to a stronger field confinement at the narrow taper end. However, further radius decreases will violate the radial resonance condition in the via, thus inducing large coupling loss. As a result, tapering effect should be controlled within 2 µm radius deviations. Nevertheless, a tapering effect with more than 28% radius decrease is unlikely to occur.

Lastly, the GARC coupler is polarization dependent. The optimized GARC coupler for the TE polarization is not effective for coupling a TM polarized guided mode, and the coupling efficiency for TM polarization is $\eta_c = 20\%$. The reason is that the interference condition designed for the TE polarization does not satisfy the TM guided mode. On one hand, the radial propagation constants for the two polarizations are not the same, resulting in a different interference condition in the radial direction. On the other hand, the longitudinal propagation constants $\gamma_{Si} = \sqrt{k_0^2 n_{Si}^2 - \beta^2}$ are also different, causing different resonant conditions in the vertical cavity. Silicon exhibits a weak second-order nonlinearity $\chi^{(2)}$ due to its centrosymmetry diamond lattice, and most of its nonlinear effect comes from the third-order $\chi^{(3)}$; this is typically exploited using Si wires with small cross-sections ($<0.1 \ \mu m^2$) [46]. Thus, the Si/SiO₂ GARC couplers with the optimized design are unlikely to be affected by the nonlinear effects.

4. GARC COUPLER DESIGN FLOW

It is noted that the GARC coupler design provided in this paper is not the only high-efficiency design. By properly choosing the sizes of the resonant cavities, various interlayer distances d and coupler radii R can be achieved. A design flowchart is provided in Fig. 17 to accommodate various potential structure requirements. The zeros of Bessel function



Fig. 17. Process flowchart for the design of GARC couplers that satisfies targeted interlayer distance d.

 $J'_1(x)$ and the fundamental propagation constant β can be precalculated. Given the minimum grating period Λ_{\min} , the parameters p, s, and t, which correspond to grating ridge widths, can be determined. The targeted interlayer distance and via radius are set as the initial values d_i and R_i , respectively. The updated values, d_f and R_f , will be determined by the initial values as well as by Eq. (1). The loop will be terminated if $|d_f - d_i| < \text{tol}$, where tol is the tolerance. If the via radius is more critical, the decision point should be $|R_f - R_i| < \text{tol}$, and the process can be accordingly modified. After d_f and R_f are obtained, FDTD can be used to finely tune the parameters $(\alpha, t_g, t_{\text{thin}}, \text{ and } d_f)$ involved in the GARC model, because the GARC coupler is more complex than a single cylindrical resonant cavity defined by Eq. (1).

5. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE GARC COUPLER AND FOCUSING GRATING COUPLERS

Focusing grating couplers, whose rulings are curved, have been reported to achieve efficient fiber-to-grating coupling [47–49]. These curved gratings, even though similar in shape to the circular gratings used in the GARC coupler, are based on a fundamentally different concept. The curved gratings are defined by the interference of two focusing beams (Beam 1 and 2) [47]. By directing Beam 1 toward the defined grating, Beam 2 can be generated, and vice versa. Similarly, the curved gratings used in [47,49] are formed by the interference between an incident beam in the air, either a plane wave or a focusing beam,

and a focusing wave in the waveguide. As a result, an externally incident wave, e.g., beam from the fiber, can excite the guided focusing wave, thus achieving the fiber-to-waveguide coupling. The focusing grating couplers defined by external and guided wave interference achieve fiber coupling to ridge waveguides. On the other hand, the circular gratings used in a GARC coupler are defined by an internal cylindrical wavefront in the cylindrical cavity, and no external beams are involved.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

A vertical interlayer coupling structure (GARC) that uses circular gratings and a high-index via has been designed. A Si/SiO₂ GARC coupler is optimized to achieve 68% interlayer coupling efficiency (approximately 82% single-layer efficiency). The coupling structure is compact (20.72 µm), broadband ($\delta_{\lambda,1 dB} = 270$ nm), and relatively straightforward to fabricate due to the wide grating ridges and the absence of adjacent-layer reflectors. The GARC coupler performance is less sensitive to variations in grating ridge width, but it is more affected by the vertical layer thickness, e.g., grating etch depth and via height. However, compared to the horizontal feature definition, vertical layer thicknesses are more easily controlled by regulating the deposition process. The GARC coupler is similar in principle to a Fabry-Perot resonator. Thus, it is conceivable for it to operate as an amplifier if it is doped with a rare-earth element or to operate as a modulator together with electro-optic materials. Overall, the GARC structure represents a promising candidate for optically interconnecting layers in 2.5D and 3D IC technologies [1,2].

APPENDIX A: EFFECT OF RESOLUTION ON EFFICIENCY CALCULATIONS

Interlayer coupling efficiency of the GARC coupler was simulated using the MEEP 3D FDTD software package. This was done for a range of resolutions from 19 to 35 pixels/ μ m. From these calculations, the efficiency displayed an oscillating and converging trend, as shown in Fig. 18. This behavior is typical and due largely to the effects of the finite pixel size and the slight mismatches between the computational grid and the device structure. Subpixel averaging, a process to assign carefully designed average values of the permittivity ε to pixels at the structural boundaries



Fig. 18. Interlayer efficiency of GARC as a function of FDTD resolution. The approximately converged value is 68%.

Higher-order mode suppression in the ridge waveguide





[50], may also occur to give more stable results. As seen from the figure, the efficiency at convergence is approximately 68%. According to [37], at least 8 pixels/wavelength in the highest dielectric should be used. The simulation results in the sensitivity analysis are obtained using the resolution 20 pixels/µm.

APPENDIX B: HIGHER-ORDER TRANSVERSE MODE SUPPRESSION

If needed, higher-order modes in the GARC output slab waveguide can be suppressed by tapering the slab waveguide to a ridge waveguide. Figure 19 shows the simulation of the coupling of the GARC output field into a ridge waveguide $(W_r=0.5\mu\text{m}, L_{\text{ridge}}=4\mu\text{m})$ using a taper $(L_{\text{taper}}=47.34\mu\text{m},$ half-angle $\alpha_{\text{taper}}=0.1$ rad) to determine the coupling efficiency into only the fundamental mode of the ridge waveguide. In this case the interlayer coupling efficiency is 55%.

REFERENCES

- "Integrated photonic systems roadmap international (IPSR-I)," http:// photonicsmanufacturing.org.
- M. Glick, L. C. Kimmerling, and R. C. Pfahl, "A roadmap for integrated photonics," Opt. Photon. News 29(3), 36–41 (2018).
- K. Puttaswamy and G. H. Loh, "Thermal herding: microarchitecture techniques for controlling hotspots in high-performance 3D-integrated processors," in *IEEE 13th International Symposium on High Performance Computer Architecture* (IEEE, 2007), pp. 193–204.
- K. W. Lee, T. Nakamura, K. Sakuma, K. T. Park, H. Shimazutsu, N. Miyakawa, K. Y. Kim, H. Kurino, and M. Koyanagi, "Development of three-dimensional integration technology for highly parallel imageprocessing chip," Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **39**, 2473–2477 (2000).
- A. Osseiran, F. Boccardi, V. Braun, K. Kusume, P. Marsch, M. Maternia, O. Queseth, M. Schellmann, H. Schotten, H. Taoka, H. Tulberg, M. A. Uusitalo, B. Timus, and M. Fallgren, "Scenarios for 5G mobile and wireless communications: the vision of the METIS project," IEEE Commun. Mag. 52(5), 26–35 (2014).
- N. Sherwood-Droz and M. Lipson, "Scalable 3D dense integration of photonics on bulk silicon," Opt. Express 19, 17758–17765 (2011).
- C. Wan, T. K. Gaylord, and M. S. Bakir, "Grating design for interlayer optical interconnection of in-plane waveguides," Appl. Opt. 55, 2601– 2610 (2016).
- G. Roelkens, D. Vermeulen, D. Van Thourhout, R. Baets, S. Brision, P. Lyan, P. Gautier, and J.-M. Fedeli, "High efficiency diffractive grating couplers for interfacing a single mode optical fiber with a nanophotonic silicon-on-insulator waveguide circuit," Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 131101 (2008).
- G. Roelkens, D. Van Thourhout, and R. Baets, "High efficiency siliconon-insulator grating coupler based on a poly-silicon overlay," Opt. Express 14, 11622–11630 (2006).

- D. Vermeulen, S. Selvaraja, P. Verheyen, G. Lepage, W. Bogaerts, P. Absil, D. Van Thourhout, and G. Roelkens, "High-efficiency fiberto-chip grating couplers realized using an advanced CMOS-compatible silicon-on-insulator platform," Opt. Express 18, 18278–18283 (2010).
- C. Wan, T. K. Gaylord, and M. S. Bakir, "RCWA-EIS method for interlayer grating coupling," Appl. Opt. 55, 5900–5908 (2016).
- G. Roelkens, D. Vermeulen, S. Selvaraja, R. Halir, W. Bogaerts, and D. Van Thourhout, "Grating-based optical fiber interfaces for siliconon-insulator photonic integrated circuits," IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. **17**, 571–580 (2011).
- S. K. Selvaraja, D. Vermeulen, M. Schaekers, E. Sleeckx, W. Bogaerts, G. Roelkens, P. Dumon, D. Van Thourhout, and R. Baets, "Highly efficient grating coupler between optical fiber and silicon photonic circuit," in *Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics* (Optical Society of America, 2009), paper CTuC6.
- D. Taillaert, P. Bienstman, and R. Baets, "Compact efficient broadband grating coupler for silicon-on-insulator waveguides," Opt. Lett. 29, 2749–2751 (2004).
- D. Taillaert, F. Van Laere, M. Ayre, W. Bogaerts, D. Van Thourhout, P. Bienstman, and R. Baets, "Grating couplers for coupling between optical fibers and nanophotonic waveguides," Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 45, 6071–6077 (2006).
- J. H. Kang, Y. Atsumi, Y. Hayashi, J. Suzuki, Y. Kuno, T. Amemiya, N. Nishiyama, and S. Arai, "50 Gbps data transmission through amorphous silicon interlayer grating couplers with metal mirrors," Appl. Phys. Express 7, 032202 (2014).
- X. Chen, C. Li, C. K. Fung, S. M. Lo, and H. K. Tsang, "Apodized waveguide grating couplers for efficient coupling to optical fibers," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 22, 1156–1158 (2010).
- D. Taillaert, W. Bogaerts, P. Bienstman, T. F. Krauss, P. V. Daele, I. Moerman, S. Verstuyft, K. D. Mesel, and R. Baets, "An out-of-plane grating coupler for efficient butt-coupling between compact planar waveguides and single-mode fibers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 38, 949–955 (2002).
- H. L. Tseng, E. Chen, H. Rong, and N. Na, "High-performance siliconon-insulator grating coupler with completely vertical emission," Opt. Express 23, 24433–24439 (2015).
- M. Dai, L. Ma, Y. Xu, M. Lu, X. Liu, and Y. Chen, "Highly efficient and perfectly vertical chip-to-fiber dual-layer grating coupler," Opt. Express 23, 1691–1698 (2015).
- J. Notaros, F. Pavanello, M. T. Wade, C. M. Gentry, A. Atabaki, L. Alloatti, R. J. Ram, and M. A. Popović, "Ultra-efficient CMOS fiber-to-chip grating couplers," in *Optical Fiber Communications Conference and Exhibition (OFC)* (IEEE, 2016), pp. 1–3.
- X. Chen, D. J. Thomson, L. Crudginton, A. Z. Khokhar, and G. T. Reed, "Dual-etch apodised grating couplers for efficient fibre-chip coupling near 1310 nm wavelength," Opt. Express 25, 17864–17871 (2017).
- D. Benedikovic, C. Alonso-Ramos, P. Cheben, J. H. Schmid, S. Wang, D.-X. Xu, J. Lapointe, S. Janz, R. Halir, A. Ortega-Moñux, J. Fedeli, S. Janz, I. Molina-Fernandez, and M. Dado, "High-directionality fiber-chip grating coupler with interleaved trenches and subwavelength index-matching structure," Opt. Lett. 40, 4190–4193 (2015).
- T. Watanabe, M. Ayata, U. Koch, Y. Fedoryshyn, and J. Leuthold, "Perpendicular grating coupler based on a blazed antiback-reflection structure," J. Lightwave Technol. 35, 4663–4669 (2017).
- L. Liu, M. Pu, K. Yvind, and J. M. Hvam, "High-efficiency, largebandwidth silicon-on-insulator grating coupler based on a fully-etched photonic crystal structure," Appl. Phys. Lett. 96, 051126 (2010).
- Q. Zhong, V. Veerasubramanian, Y. Wang, W. Shi, D. Patel, S. Ghosh, A. Samani, L. Chrostowski, R. Bojko, and D. V. Plant, "Focusing-curved subwavelength grating couplers for ultrabroadband silicon photonics optical interfaces," Opt. Express 22, 18224–18231 (2014).
- L. Yu, L. Liu, Z. Zhou, and X. Wang, "High efficiency binary blazed grating coupler for perfectly-vertical and near-vertical coupling in chip level optical interconnections," Opt. Commun. 355, 161–166 (2015).

- B. Wohlfeil, L. Zimmermann, and K. Petermann, "Optimization of fiber grating couplers on SOI using advanced search algorithms," Opt. Lett. 39, 3201–3203 (2014).
- C. Wan, T. K. Gaylord, and M. S. Bakir, "Rigorous coupled-wave analysis equivalent-index-slab method for analyzing 3D angular misalignment in interlayer grating couplers," Appl. Opt. 55, 10006–10015 (2016).
- C. Wan, T. K. Gaylord, and M. S. Bakir, "Circular waveguide gratingvia-grating for interlayer coupling," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 29, 1776–1779 (2017).
- J. Bolten, J. Hofrichter, N. Moll, S. Schönenberger, F. Horst, B. J. Offrein, T. Wahlbrink, T. Mollenhauer, and H. Kurz, "CMOS compatible cost-efficient fabrication of SOI grating couplers," Microelectron. Eng. 86, 1114–1116 (2009).
- B. Schmid, A. Petrov, and M. Eich, "Optimized grating coupler with fully etched slots," Opt. Express 17, 11066–11076 (2009).
- S. Bernabé, C. Kopp, M. Volpert, J. Harduin, J.-M. Fédéli, and H. Ribot, "Chip-to-chip optical interconnections between stacked selfaligned SOI photonic chips," Opt. Express 20, 7886–7894 (2012).
- S. Lardenois, D. Pascal, L. Vivien, E. Cassan, S. Laval, R. Orobtchouk, M. Heitzmann, N. Bouzaida, and L. Mollard, "Low-loss submicrometer silicon-on-insulator rib waveguides and corner mirrors," Opt. Lett. 28, 1150–1152 (2003).
- M. Antelius, K. B. Gylfason, and H. Sohlström, "An apodized SOI waveguide-to-fiber surface grating coupler for single lithography silicon photonics," Opt. Express 19, 3592–3598 (2011).
- Y. Tang, Z. Wang, L. Wosinski, U. Westergren, and S. He, "Highly efficient nonuniform grating coupler for silicon-on-insulator nanophotonic circuits," Opt. Lett. 35, 1290–1292 (2010).
- 37. "MEEP tutorial," http://ab-initio.mit.edu/wiki/index.php/Meep_Tutorial.
- M. Toda, "Single-mode behavior of a circular grating for potential disk-shaped DFB lasers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 26, 473–481 (1990).
- R. M. Schimpe, "Cylindrical diffraction grating couplers and distributed feedback resonators for guided wave devices," U.S. patent 4,743,083 (10 May 1988).
- E. Ben-Bassat and J. Scheuer, "Optimal design of radial Bragg cavities and lasers," Opt. Lett. 40, 3069–3072 (2015).
- X. Li and S. Yu, "Static and dynamic modeling of circular gratingcoupled distributed feedback lasers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 44, 770–776 (2008).
- X. Gong, A. Chan, and H. Taylor, "Lateral mode discrimination in surface emitting DBR lasers with cylindrical symmetry," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 30, 1212–1218 (1994).
- F. Van Laere, G. Roelkens, M. Ayre, J. Schrauwen, D. Taillaert, D. Van Thourhout, T. F. Krauss, and R. Baets, "Compact and highly efficient grating couplers between optical fiber and nanophotonic waveguides," J. Lightwave Technol. 25, 151–156 (2007).
- 44. J. Yao, X. Zheng, G. Li, I. Shubin, H. Thacker, Y. Luo, K. Raj, J. E. Cunningham, and A. V. Krishnamoorthy, "Grating-coupler based low-loss optical interlayer coupling," in 8th IEEE International Conference on Group IV Photonics (GFP) (2011), pp. 383–385.
- D. M. Pozar, "Circular waveguide cavity resonators," in *Microwave Engineering* (Wiley, 2011), Chap. 6.4, pp. 288–292.
- J. Leuthold, C. Koos, and W. Freude, "Nonlinear silicon photonics," Nat. Photonics 4, 535–544 (2010).
- D. Heitmann and C. Ortiz, "Calculation and experimental verification of two-dimensional focusing grating couplers," IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 17, 1257–1263 (1981).
- V. A. Kiselev and S. N. Shaposhnikov, "Wide-aperture focusing in the case of excitation of an optical waveguide through an annular grating," Sov. Phys. Tech. Phys. 33, 987–989 (1988).
- F. Van Laere, T. Claes, J. Schrauwen, S. Scheerlinck, W. Bogaerts, D. Taillaert, L. O'Faolain, D. Van Thourhout, and R. Baets, "Compact focusing grating couplers for silicon-on-insulator integrated circuits," IEEE Photon. Technol. Lett. 19, 1919–1921 (2007).
- A. F. Oskooi, D. Roundy, M. Ibanescu, P. Bermel, J. D. Joannopoulos, and S. G. Johnson, "MEEP: a flexible free-software package for electromagnetic simulations by the FDTD method," Comput. Phys. Commun. 181, 687–702 (2010).